



**NOAA
FISHERIES**

**WEST
COAST
REGION**

Biological Opinion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon

Overview

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) consulted with NOAA Fisheries under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) to determine whether implementation of its National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in Oregon impacts the survival of listed species. Throughout the state, 15 salmon and steelhead species are listed under the ESA, and each of these species depends on healthy, functioning floodplain habitat. Development in floodplains can disconnect this important area from river channels and destroy natural riparian and wetland vegetation. Altering the natural processes that allow habitat to form and recover from disturbances, such as floods, can affect multiple stages of the salmon life cycle and impede their survival and long-term recovery.

Through the consultation process, NOAA Fisheries' determined that the NFIP in Oregon reduces the quantity and quality of floodplain and in-channel habitat, which will jeopardize the continued existence of 17 marine and anadromous species (including eulachon and Southern Resident killer whales, in addition to the salmon and steelhead species) and adversely modify critical habitat for 16 of these species. NOAA Fisheries' provided a reasonable and prudent alternative (RPA) to ensure FEMA's implementation of the NFIP avoids harming these species. Key elements of the RPA include:

- Updating floodplain maps to more accurately identify where flood-related risks are and where they are expected under future conditions. This information is critical to making sure development impacts are minimized in flood-prone areas and habitat values needed to support salmon survival are preserved.
- Limiting the types of *future* development allowed in floodways and channel migration zones, since these highest risk areas for people also have the highest habitat values for fish.
- Tracking floodplain development and requiring mitigation of the impacts of development to ensure that floodplain features and the ESA-listed species associated with them are not lost.

Questions & Answers

What is the National Flood Insurance Program?

The National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 created the National Flood Insurance Program. It is a federal benefits program, administered by FEMA, and has three components—it: 1. identifies flood risks and maps them; 2. sets building and development standards for those flood risk areas; and 3. provides subsidized insurance for communities that adopt those standards. It is a voluntary program that communities can choose to participate in, in order to receive federal flood-related assistance.

Why is NOAA Fisheries engaged in an Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation on FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon?

FEMA settled a lawsuit with several environmental groups seeking an evaluation of the effects of the NFIP in Oregon. As a result of the settlement, FEMA consulted with NOAA Fisheries to meet its obligations to limit the program's impact on species listed under the ESA. ESA Section 7 consultation is a legally required process for all federal activities that may impact plants and animals that are listed as threatened or endangered.

These frequently asked questions provide background on the National Flood Insurance Program, the importance of floodplain habitat to salmon survival, and the consultation between NOAA Fisheries and the Federal Emergency Management Agency.



Biological Opinion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon

What listed species are jeopardized by implementation of FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon?

Through the consultation process, NOAA Fisheries' determined that the NFIP in Oregon reduces the quantity and quality of floodplain and in-channel habitat, which jeopardizes 15 salmon and steelhead species, as well as eulachon and Southern Resident killer whales; and adversely modifies critical habitat for 16 of these species.

What is an Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation?

Section 7 of the ESA outlines procedures for inter-agency cooperation to conserve federally listed species and designated critical habitats. This section of the Act requires federal agencies to consult with NOAA Fisheries and/or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (depending on the species) to ensure that proposed federal actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of ESA-listed species or destroy or adversely modify the species' designated critical habitats.

Why is it necessary to conserve Oregon's floodplains to protect salmon?

Throughout Oregon, 15 salmon and steelhead species are listed under the ESA, and each of these species depends on healthy, functioning floodplain habitat. Development in floodplains can disconnect this important area from river channels and destroy natural riparian and wetland vegetation. Altering the natural processes that allow habitat to form and recover from disturbances, such as floods, can affect multiple stages of the salmon life cycle and impede their survival and long-term recovery. Throughout Oregon, floodplains are no longer functioning as nature intended:

- In the Willamette Valley, the Willamette River has been channelized and stripped of large wood. Gregory et al. (2002) calculated that between 1895 and 1995, the total mainstem Willamette River channel area decreased from 41,000 to 23,000 acres. In the reach between Eugene and Albany alone, the river lost 45 percent of its channel length, along with 74 percent of alcoves and 80 percent of island areas.
- On the Oregon Coast, Tillamook Bay has lost 90 percent of its tidal estuary wetlands, and with them the capacity to handle flooding and provide habitat for salmon and steelhead.
- In the Interior Columbia River Basin, half of all floodplains have been converted to urban and agricultural land use, predominantly by levees and channel filling.
- In the Columbia River estuary, approximately 24,000 acres of estuarine habitat was converted to developed floodplain areas between 1870 and 1983.

How do healthy floodplains support salmon?

Floodplains are vital to the health of Pacific salmon and steelhead because they provide important habitat during the freshwater phase of their life cycle. Healthy floodplains contribute to the biological processes necessary for salmon and steelhead survival in many ways, including:

- Allowing the river to naturally migrate and form a diversity of habitat types critical to the survival of different salmon species at various life stages;
- Providing off-channel areas with a high abundance of terrestrial and aquatic food sources;
- Creating shallow habitat with cover that allows small salmon to hide from larger predators;
- Providing slow-water refuge for juvenile salmon to avoid high river flow volume, which allows salmon to rear as long as necessary and conserve energy for their entry to the ocean;
- Providing coarse beds of sediment through which water flows, filtering excess nutrients and other chemicals to maintain high water quality;
- Providing an expanded area for depositing and storing excess sediment, particularly fine sediment. This reduces the effects of turbidity on fish; and
- Allowing ground saturation and percolation of water through soils, which provides cool water recharge of streams well into the summer when temperatures are hottest.



Biological Opinion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon

What role does NOAA Fisheries play in administering the National Flood Insurance Program?

NOAA Fisheries does not administer FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program. Rather, NOAA Fisheries reviewed the effects of program implementation in Oregon to ensure it does not limit the survival of threatened and endangered species protected under the ESA, nor adversely modify their critical habitats. This is known as an ESA Section 7 Consultation. It is a legally required process for all federal activities that may impact plants and animals that are listed as threatened or endangered. At the end of the consultation process, NOAA Fisheries released a biological opinion with its findings. NOAA Fisheries found that implementation of the NFIP in Oregon reduces the quantity and quality of floodplain and in-channel habitat, which will jeopardize the continued existence of 17 marine and anadromous species, and adversely modify critical habitat for the 16 of these species.

How is the floodplain defined under the National Flood Insurance Program?

FEMA delineates the floodplain based on the inundation risk associated with a one percent annual chance flood event – a flood that has a 1 in 100 chance of happening in any year. The area that such a flood would inundate is called the Special Flood Hazard Area.

How is “development” defined under the National Flood Insurance Program?

FEMA defines “development” as any human-made change to improved or unimproved real estate, including but not limited to buildings or other structures, mining, dredging, filling, grading, paving, excavation or drilling operations or storage of equipment or materials (44 CFR 59.1). Following this definition, the RPA focuses on new development and re-development in floodplain areas, and similarly excludes from the definition of “development” the maintenance of existing buildings and facilities, resurfacing of roads; gardening, or plowing and similar agricultural practices that do not involve filling, grading, or construction of levees.

How will the consultation affect future floodplain development?

NOAA Fisheries recommends changes to the NFIP that will better protect floodplain habitat. Most of NOAA Fisheries' recommendations are based on previous recommendations by FEMA and others as appropriate methods of reducing flood risks. Under the RPA, new development and re-development may continue to occur outside of floodways and channel migration zones, as long as mitigation and best management practices, such as low impact development techniques, are incorporated.

Also, landowners will be able to maintain their existing buildings and facilities; road construction, maintenance, and plowing will continue; and agricultural practices that do not involve filling, grading, or the construction of levees will be permissible. Within floodways and channel migration zones, existing development may remain. Under the RPA, new development can occur so long as it is consistent with flood-compatible uses.

Will I be required to alter structures on my property to comply with the new requirements?

No. The requirements only pertain to *new* development in floodplains.

What is recommended in the biological opinion's reasonable and prudent alternative?

Key elements of the RPA include:

1. Updating floodplain maps to more accurately identify where flood risks are and where they are expected under future conditions. This information is critical to avoiding floods and preserving those areas needed to support salmon survival.
2. Limiting the types of development allowed in floodways and channel migration zones to flood-compatible uses, since these high risk areas also have the highest habitat values.
3. Tracking floodplain development and require mitigation of the impacts of development to ensure that floodplain features are not lost that are critical to supporting the survival and recovery of listed species.

In addition, the RPA also recommends:

Interim Measures for Immediate Implementation – The RPA provides provisions that should be implemented in a timely fashion, with local governments showing progress within six months, and full implementation within two years. These provisions specify that development in floodplains must mitigate for the loss of flood storage, reduction in vegetation, and placement of impervious surface.

Data Collection and Reporting – Locally issued permits for development in special hazard areas need to be reported to FEMA, and reports need to include: how much flood displacement by fill or structures; how much new impervious surface added; how much vegetation removed; whether floodplain is disconnected or reconnected; and location of development actions and mitigation for floodplain impacts.

Compliance and Enforcement—It will take FEMA a number of years to fully implement the RPA. The RPA increases the Community Rating System for early compliance; requires audits/Community Assistance Visits for communities that fall behind schedule in implementation; and sets probation and expulsion requirements for persistent non-compliance.



Biological Opinion for the Federal Emergency Management Agency's National Flood Insurance Program in the State of Oregon

Are there examples where restoring floodplain habitat limits flood risk and protects salmon?

In Tillamook County, federal, state, and local partners are working with community leaders to re-open more than 500 acres of historical floodplain habitat. The effort is known as the Southern Flow Corridor Project and, once implemented, will reduce flooding in Tillamook's Highway 101 business corridor during 100-year flood events, as well as during more frequent floods. Reducing the frequency and intensity of floods will limit damage to local infrastructure and farmlands—translating directly into reduced economic impacts incurred from road closures and damaged property. Currently, the Wilson and Trask rivers crest above flood stage annually, and often more frequently. Records show that from 1970 to 1996 the Wilson River exceeded flood stage 43 times—resulting in significant economic costs. Between 1996 and 2000 alone, Tillamook County accrued over \$60 million in flood damage to homes, farmland, businesses, and infrastructure.

What happens once NOAA Fisheries sends this biological opinion to FEMA?

FEMA will notify Oregon NFIP-participating communities about the outcome of NOAA Fisheries' biological opinion and elements of the RPA. FEMA's obligation under the ESA is to avoid jeopardizing listed species and avoid adversely modifying critical habitats. This RPA is NOAA Fisheries' recommendation on how to do that, but FEMA has flexibility to offer a different approach to meet this obligation. FEMA will advise NOAA Fisheries on how they decide to proceed.