

**STATE INCIDENTAL TAKE PERMIT
APPLICATION
FOR THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION
OF DUAL CONVEYANCE FACILITIES OF THE
STATE WATER PROJECT**

PREPARED FOR:

California Department of Fish and Wildlife
1416 9th Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Contact: Marcus L. Yee

PREPARED BY:

ICF International
630 K Street, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95814
Contact: David Zippin
(916) 737-3000

October 2016



Contents

List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
List of Acronyms and Abbreviations	xiii
1 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Purpose of this Document	1-1
1.2 Applicant	1-2
1.3 Brief Description of the Proposed Project.....	1-2
1.4 Relationship to Existing Incidental Take Permit and Biological Opinions	1-4
1.5 Project Location	1-11
1.6 Requested Permit Duration	1-12
1.7 CEQA Lead Agency.....	1-12
1.8 References	1-13
2 Covered Species	2-1
2.1 Delta Smelt.....	2-1
2.1.1 Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-2
2.1.2 Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-2
2.1.3 Species Threats	2-2
2.2 Longfin Smelt.....	2-10
2.2.1 Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-10
2.2.2 Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-10
2.2.3 Species Threats	2-11
2.3 Chinook Salmon – Winter-run	2-12
2.3.1 Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-12
2.3.2 Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-12
2.3.3 Species Threats	2-13
2.4 Chinook Salmon—Spring-Run	2-15
2.4.1 Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-15
2.4.2 Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-15
2.4.3 Species Threats	2-16
2.5 California Tiger Salamander	2-18
2.5.1 Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-18
2.5.2 Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-19
2.5.3 Species Threats	2-20
2.5.4 Species Habitat Suitability Model	2-21
2.5.5 Suitable Habitat Definition.....	2-25

2.6	Giant Garter Snake	2-25
2.6.1	Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-25
2.6.2	Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-25
2.6.3	Species Threats.....	2-27
2.6.4	Species Habitat Suitability Model	2-28
2.6.5	Suitable Habitat Definition.....	2-40
2.7	Swainson’s Hawk.....	2-42
2.7.1	Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-42
2.7.2	Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-42
2.7.3	Species Threats.....	2-44
2.7.4	Species Habitat Suitability Model	2-44
2.7.5	Suitable Habitat Definition.....	2-54
2.8	Tricolored Blackbird	2-54
2.8.1	Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-54
2.8.2	Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-56
2.8.3	Species Threats.....	2-57
2.8.4	Species Habitat Suitability Model	2-58
2.8.5	Suitable Habitat Definition.....	2-66
2.9	Mason’s Lilaeopsis.....	2-66
2.9.1	Geographic Distribution and Status.....	2-67
2.9.2	Life History and Habitat Requirements.....	2-67
2.9.3	Species Threats.....	2-68
2.9.4	Species Habitat Suitability Model	2-68
2.9.5	Suitable Habitat Definition.....	2-69
2.10	References Cited.....	2-69
3	Description of the Proposed Project	3-1
3.1	Introduction	3-1
3.1.1	Central Valley Project	3-9
3.1.2	State Water Project.....	3-10
3.1.3	Coordinated Operations Agreement	3-18
3.1.4	Delta Operations Regulatory Setting.....	3-18
3.1.5	Real-Time Operations Upstream of the Delta	3-20
3.1.6	Take Authorization Requested	3-26
3.2	Conveyance Facility Construction	3-29
3.2.1	Geotechnical Exploration	3-36
3.2.2	North Delta Diversions.....	3-39
3.2.3	Tunneled Conveyance	3-49
3.2.4	Intermediate Forebay.....	3-57
3.2.5	Clifton Court Forebay.....	3-59

3.2.6	Connections to Banks and Jones Pumping Plants	3-67
3.2.7	Power Supply and Grid Connections.....	3-70
3.2.8	Head of Old River Gate	3-73
3.2.9	Temporary Access and Work Areas.....	3-76
3.2.10	Common Construction-Related Activities.....	3-77
3.3	Operations and Maintenance of New and Existing Facilities.....	3-88
3.3.1	Implementation.....	3-89
3.3.2	Operational Criteria.....	3-90
3.3.3	Real-Time Operational Decision-Making Process	3-113
3.3.4	Operation of South Delta Facilities	3-119
3.3.5	Water Transfers	3-123
3.3.6	Maintenance of the Facilities.....	3-124
3.4	Drought Procedures	3-129
3.4.1	Water Management in Drought Conditions.....	3-129
3.4.2	Proposed Future Drought Procedures.....	3-134
3.5	References	3-134
4	Analysis of Take.....	4-1
4.1	Take of Delta Smelt.....	4-11
4.1.1	Construction Effects	4-12
4.1.2	Maintenance Effects	4-57
4.1.3	Operations Effects	4-64
4.1.4	Mitigation Measure Effects	4-176
4.1.5	Monitoring Effects.....	4-180
4.1.6	Take Analysis	4-182
4.1.7	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-191
4.1.8	References	4-210
4.2	Take of Longfin Smelt	4-227
4.2.1	Construction Effects	4-227
4.2.2	Maintenance Effects	4-258
4.2.3	Operations Effects	4-262
4.2.4	Mitigation Measure Effects	4-277
4.2.5	Monitoring Effects.....	4-279
4.2.6	Take Analysis	4-280
4.2.7	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-293
4.2.8	References	4-302
4.3	Take of Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon	4-311
4.3.1	Introduction	4-311
4.3.2	Effects of Water Facility Construction	4-311
4.3.3	Effects of Water Facility Maintenance	4-359

4.3.4	Effects of Water Facility Operations	4-364
4.3.5	Effects of Construction and Maintenance of Mitigation	4-517
4.3.6	Effects of Monitoring Activities	4-520
4.3.7	Take Analysis	4-520
4.3.8	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-526
4.3.9	References	4-535
4.4	Take of Central Valley Spring-run Chinook Salmon	4-551
4.4.1	Introduction	4-551
4.4.2	Effects of Water Facility Construction.....	4-551
4.4.3	Effects of Water Facility Maintenance.....	4-598
4.4.4	Effects of Water Facility Operations.....	4-602
4.4.5	Effects of Construction and Maintenance of Mitigation	4-753
4.4.6	Effects of Monitoring Activities.....	4-756
4.4.7	Take Analysis	4-757
4.4.8	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-763
4.4.9	References	4-772
4.5	Take of the California Tiger Salamander	4-789
4.5.1	Effects on California Tiger Salamander from the Proposed Project	4-791
4.5.2	Take Analysis	4-797
4.5.3	Analysis of Impacts in the Project Area	4-798
4.5.4	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-799
4.5.5	References	4-800
4.6	Take of the Giant Garter Snake	4-801
4.6.1	Effects on Giant Garter Snake from the Proposed Project	4-803
4.6.2	Habitat Restoration/Mitigation.....	4-836
4.6.3	Take Analysis	4-839
4.6.4	Analysis of Impacts in the Project Area	4-839
4.6.5	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-839
4.7	Take of the Swainson’s Hawk.....	4-845
4.7.1	Effects on Swainson’s Hawk from the Proposed Project	4-848
4.7.2	Take Analysis	4-856
4.7.3	Analysis of Impacts in the Project Area	4-857
4.7.4	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-858
4.7.5	References	4-860
4.8	Take of the Tricolored Blackbird	4-861
4.8.1	Effects on the Tricolored Blackbird from the Proposed Project.....	4-863
4.8.2	Take Analysis	4-870
4.8.3	Analysis of Impact in the Project Area.....	4-870
4.8.4	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-871

4.8.5	References	4-872
4.9	Take of Mason’s Lilaepsis	4-873
4.9.1	Effect on Mason’s Lilaepsis from the Proposed Project	4-875
4.9.2	Take Analysis	4-876
4.9.3	Analysis of Impacts in the Project Area	4-876
4.9.4	Analysis of Potential for Jeopardy.....	4-877
4.9.1	References	4-879
5	Take Minimization and Mitigation Measures.....	5-1
5.1	Water Conveyance Facility Design	5-1
5.2	Operating Criteria.....	5-1
5.3	Take Minimization Measures	5-3
5.3.1	Delta Smelt	5-3
5.3.2	Longfin Smelt.....	5-15
5.3.3	Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	5-29
5.3.4	Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	5-33
5.3.5	California Tiger Salamander	5-35
5.3.6	Giant Garter Snake	5-42
5.3.7	Swainson’s Hawk	5-51
5.3.8	Tricolored Blackbird	5-55
5.3.9	Mason’s Lilaepsis.....	5-57
5.4	Mitigation Measures.....	5-59
5.4.0	Framework for Mitigation Design.....	5-60
5.4.1	Delta Smelt	5-68
5.4.2	Longfin Smelt.....	5-71
5.4.3	Sacramento River Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	5-75
5.4.4	Central Valley Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	5-83
5.4.5	California Tiger Salamander	5-84
5.4.6	Giant Garter Snake	5-87
5.4.7	Swainson’s Hawk	5-90
5.4.8	Tricolored Blackbird	5-95
5.4.9	Mason’s Lilaepsis.....	5-98
5.5	References	5-99
6	Monitoring Plan.....	6-1
6.1	Collaborative Science and Adaptive Management Program	6-1
6.2	Monitoring and Research Program.....	6-1
6.2.1	Continuation of Existing Required Monitoring.....	6-2
6.2.2	Required Compliance Monitoring	6-4
6.2.3	Monitoring After Operations Commence	6-5
6.2.4	Monitoring Prior to Operations	6-9

6.3 References6-14

7 Funding.....7-1

7.1 Cost.....7-1

7.1.1 Mitigation Cost Estimate Methods7-4

7.2 Funding.....7-5

7.2.1 Current Process for Funding Mitigation Associated With the SWP7-6

7.2.2 Funding of Proposed Project Construction, including CESA Mitigation.....7-7

7.2.3 Funding CESA Mitigation and Monitoring During and After Water Facility
Construction7-8

7.3 References7-8

8 Certification8-1

Appendices

- 2.A Evaluation of Species Considered for Coverage
- 3.A Mapbook for the Proposed Action
- 3.B Conceptual Engineering Report, Dual Conveyance Facility, Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Option-Clifton Court Forebay Pumping Plant (MPTO/CCO), Volume 1
- 3.C Conceptual Engineering Report, Dual Conveyance Facility, Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Option-Clifton Court Forebay Pumping Plant (MPTO/CCO), Volume 2
- 3.D Construction Schedule for the Proposed Action
- 3.E Pile Driving Assumptions for the Proposed Action
- 3.F General Avoidance and Minimization Measures
- 3.G Geotechnical Exploration Plan – Phase 2
- 4.A Longfin Smelt Quantitative Analyses
- 4.B Terrestrial Impact Analysis Methods
- 4.C Information to Support the Cumulative Effects Analysis for Terrestrial Species
- 4.D Comparison of Key Hydrological Variables for Proposed Project with Longfin Smelt Spring Outflow Criteria to No Action Alternative and Proposed Project Scenarios
- 6.A Adaptive Management Framework for the California Water Fix and Current Biological Opinions on the Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley and State Water Projects

Tables

	Page
Table 2-2. Average Annual Frequency (Percent) of Delta Smelt Occurrence by Life Stage, Interagency Ecological Program Monitoring Program, and Region.....	2-6
Table 3-1. CVP/SWP Facilities and Actions Included and Not Included in the Proposed Project.....	3-2
Table 3-2. Feather River Minimum Instream Flow Requirements Included in the Oroville Facilities Settlement Agreement and California WaterFix 2081 Application Modeling Compared to the NMFS Draft BiOp.....	3-14
Table 3-3. Annual Availability of Oroville Facilities Temperature Management Actions in the Oroville Facilities Relicensing DEIR PA Alternative Simulation.....	3-14
Table 3-4. End-of-Month Oroville Storage Modeling Results for the NAA and the PP	3-15
Table 3-5. Modeled Feather River Low Flow Channel near Fish Dam Monthly Temperature for the NAA and the PP	3-16
Table 3-6. Modeled Feather River Low Flow Channel at Robinson Riffle Monthly Temperature for the NAA and the PP	3-17
Table 4.0-1 Summary of Scientific Uncertainty and Bibliography.....	4-3
Table 4.2-1. Number of Longfin Smelt Collected and Catch per Trawl during the Fall Midwater Trawl Survey (September–December)	4-43
Table 4.2-2. Number of Longfin Smelt (<60 mm Fork Length) Collected and Catch per Seine during USFWS Seine Sampling in the Plan Area (January–December)	4-44
Table 4.2-3. Number of Longfin Smelt (≥ 60 mm Fork Length) Collected and Catch per Seine during USFWS Seine Sampling in the Plan Area (January–December)	4-45
Table 4.2-4. Number of Longfin Smelt Larvae Collected and Catch per Cubic Meter during the Striped Bass Egg and Larval Survey (February–July).....	4-46
Table 4.2-7. Monthly Water-year-type Mean of Flows in the San Joaquin River at Jersey Point (Cubic Feet per Second), from the 1922–2003 DSM2-HYDRO Simulation.....	4-51
Table 4.2-13. Mean Annual Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance Index (Fall Midwater Trawl Survey), Estimated from General Linear Model Based on Mean January–June X_2^1 , Grouped by Water Year Type, Comparing PP with Longfin Smelt Spring Outflow Criteria to NAA.	4-72
Table 4.3-25. Water Year and Month with Mean Flow > 27,300 cfs at Keswick Dam during the Winter-run Chinook Salmon Spawning and Incubation Period	4-139

Table 4.3-26.	Water Years and Months with Mean Flow > 21,800 cfs at Red Bluff during the Winter-run Chinook Salmon Spawning and Incubation Period.....	4-139
Table 4.4-25.	Percent of Months during Spawning and Incubation Periods with CALSIM II Flow Greater than Redd Scouring Threshold Flow at Keswick Dam (27,300 cfs) and Red Bluff (21,800 cfs) between Model Scenarios	4-136
Table 5.3-1.	Proposed Longfin Smelt Spring Outflow Criteria: Monthly Net Delta Outflow Index in Relation to Eight River Index.....	5-28
Table 5.4.7-1	Compensation for Direct Effects on Swainson’s Hawk Habitat.....	5-91
Table 5.4.8-2.	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	5-96
Table 5.4.9-1.	Compensation for Direct Effects on Mason’s Lilaeopsis Suitable Habitat.....	5-98
Table 7-1.	Estimated Species Mitigation Costs for State Listed Species.....	7-2

Figures

	Page
Figure 4.3-12. Delta Passage Model: Annual mean Sacramento River Flow into Reach Sac3 (Downstream of Georgiana Slough) and South Delta Exports, Weighted by Proportional Entry into the Delta of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon, Classified into Years of Overlapping and Non-overlapping Through-Delta Survival 95% Confidence Intervals.....	4-102
Figure 4.4-14. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, All Water Years	4-124
Figure 4.4-15. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Wet Water Years	4-124
Figure 4.4-16. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Above Normal Water Years	4-125
Figure 4.4-17. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Below Normal Water Years	4-125
Figure 4.4-18. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Dry Water Years	4-126
Figure 4.4-19. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Critical Water Years	4-126
Figure 4.4-20. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, All Water Years	4-127
Figure 4.4-21. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Wet Water Years	4-127
Figure 4.4-22. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Above Normal Water Years	4-128
Figure 4.4-23. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Below Normal Water Years	4-128

Figure 4.4-24. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Dry Water Years	4-129
Figure 4.4-25. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Critical Water Years	4-129
Figure 4.4-26. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 4, All Water Years	4-130
Figure 4.4-27. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 4, Wet Water Years	4-130
Figure 4.4-28. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 4, Above Normal Water Years	4-131
Figure 4.4-32. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, All Water Years.....	4-137
Figure 4.4-33. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Wet Water Years	4-138
Figure 4.4-34. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Above Normal Water Years	4-138
Figure 4.4-35. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Below Normal Water Years	4-139
Figure 4.4-36. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Dry Water Years.....	4-139
Figure 4.4-37. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Percent of Redds Dewatered for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Critical Water Years.....	4-140
Figure 4.4-38. Exceedance Plot of Annual Flow-Based Mortality (#of Fish/Year) of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Spawning, Egg Incubation, and Alevins	4-145
Figure 4.4-45. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, All Water Years	4-152
Figure 4.4-46. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, Wet Water Years	4-152
Figure 4.4-47. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, Above Normal Water Years	4-153

Figure 4.4-48. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, Below Normal Water Years	4-153
Figure 4.4-49. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, Dry Water Years.....	4-154
Figure 4.4-50. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Egg Mortality for NAA and PP Model Scenarios, Reclamation Egg Mortality Model, Critical Water Years	4-154
Figure 4.4-62. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Fry Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Dry Water Years	4-163
Figure 4.4-63. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Fry Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 5, Critical Water Years	4-164
Figure 4.4-73. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 6, Below Normal Water Years.....	4-169
Figure 4.4-87. Exceedance Plot of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Rearing Weighted Usable Area (WUA) for NAA and PP Model Scenarios in River Segment 4, Critical Water Years	4-176
Figure 4.4-91. Exceedance Plot for Annual Potential Production (# of Fish/Year) of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon, SALMOD	4-199

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Above-normal	AN
acre feet	Af
American River Group	ARG
Anadromous Fish Restoration Program	AFRP
Avoidance and Minimization Measures	AMMs
b2 interagency team	B2IT
Bay Delta Conservation Plan	BDCP
Below-normal	BN
best management practices	BMPs
bioacoustic fish fence	BAFF
California Code of Regulations	CCR
California Department of Fish and Game	CDFG
California Department of Fish and Wildlife	CDFW
California Department of Water Resources	DWR
California Endangered Species Act	CESA
California Environmental Quality Act	CEQA
California Fish and Game Code	Fish & Game Code
California Natural Diversity Database	CNDDDB
California State Water Resources Control Board	SWRCB
cast-in-drilled-hole	CIDH
CCF pumping plant	CCPP
Central Valley Project	CVP
Central Valley Project Act	CVPA
Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992	CVPIA
Clifton Court Forebay	CCF
Clifton Court Forebay Pump Plant	CCFPP
Clifton Court Forebay Technical Team	CCFTT
cone penetration testing	CPT
Contra Costa Water District	CCWD
Coordinated Operation Agreement	COA
Critical	C
cubic feet per second	cfs
cubic yards	cy
cumulative sound exposure level	SEL
Data Assessment Team	DAT
decibels	dB
Delta Cross Channel	DCC
Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program	DHCCP
Delta Operations for Salmon and Sturgeon	DOSS
Delta Passage Model	DPM

Design and Construction Enterprise	DCE
dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene	DDE
dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane	DDT
distinct population segment	DPS
Dry	D
Endangered Species Act	ESA
end-of-September	EOS
evolutionary significant unit	ESU
export/inflow	E/I
Facilities Relicensing Draft Environmental Impact Report Proposed Project Alternative	FERC DEIR PA
Fall Midwater Trawl	FMWT
Feather River Fish Hatchery	FRFH
federal Endangered Species Act	ESA
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	FERC
<i>Federal Register</i>	FR
feet per second	ft/s
Fish Facilities Technical Team's	FFTT
floating fish guidance structure	FFGS
foot per second	ft/s
fork length	FL
General Excavation for the NCCF and SCCF	general excavation
Glenn Colusa Irrigation District's	GCID
global climate models	GCMs
Hazardous Material Management Plan	HMMP
Head of Old River	HOR
High Flow Channel	HFC
HOR Gate Technical Team	HGTT
horizontal to vertical	H to V
Illuminating Engineering Society	IES
incidental take permit	ITP
Independent Review Panel	IRP footnote
Information Center for the Environment	ICE
Interactive Object-Oriented Salmon Simulation	IOS
Interagency Ecological Program	IEP
Intermediate Forebay	IF
Interstate 205	I 205
Interstate 5	I-5
Interstate 580	I 580
John E. Skinner Delta Fish Protective Facility	Skinner
Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery	LSNFH
Long-term Operations Opinions	LOO footnote
Low Flow Channel	LFC

low salinity zone	LSZ
Madera Irrigation District	MID
miles per hour	mph
milligrams per liter	mg/L
minimum standards for fall outflow	Fall X2
Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program	MMRP
Morrow Island Distribution System	MIDS
Mortality Reduction and Relocation Plan	Relocation Plan
municipal and industrial	M&I
National Marine Fisheries Service	NMFS
National Weather Service	NWS
Net Delta Outflow Index	NDOI
New Spillway and Stilling Basin	new CCF spillway and stilling basin
no action alterative	NAA
Nonphysical Fish Barrier	NPB
North Bay Aqueduct	NBA
North Clifton Court Forebay	NCCF
North Delta Diversions	NDDs
not formally defined	NFD
off-road vehicles	ORV
Old and Middle River	OMR
Oncorhynchus Bayesian Analysis	OBAN
Pacific Gas and Electric Company	PG&E
parts per thousand	ppt
pelagic organism decline	POD
Pittsburg Generating Station	PGS
polychlorinated biphenyls	PCBs
polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons	PAHs
proposed action	PA
Proposed project	P
ratio of water exports to inflow	E:I ratio
Real Time Drought Operations Management Team	RTDOMT
real time operations coordination team	RTOCT
Real-Time Operational	RTO
Reasonable and Prudent Alternative	RPA
reusable tunnel material	RTM
river miles	RM _s
Roaring River Distribution System	RRDS
root mean square	RMS
Sacramento Municipal Utility District	SMUD
Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plan	SRWTP
Sacramento River Temperature Task Group	SRTTG

Sacramento River Temperature Technical Group	SRTTG
Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta	Delta
sampling and analysis plan	SAP
San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta	Bay-Delta
Secretary of the Interior	Secretary
Settlement Agreement	SA
seven day average daily maximum	7DADM
Smelt Larval Survey	SLS
Smelt Working Group	SWG
sound exposure level	SEL
South CCF	SCCF
South Clifton Court Forebay	SCCF
Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasure Plan	SPCCP
Spring Kodiak Trawl	SKT
standard penetration test	SPT
Stanislaus Operations Group	SOG
Stanislaus River Forum	SRF
State Route	SR
State Water Project	SWP
Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	SWPPP
Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates	SMSCG
Take minimization measures	TMMs
Temperature Control Actions	TCA _s
Temporary Barriers Project	TBP
Tracy Fish Collection Facility	TFCF
tunnel boring machine	TBM
U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	USBR
U.S. Department of the Interior’s	Interior
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	USEPA
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	USFWS
U.S. Geological Survey	USGS
Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan	VAMP
Water Operations Management Team	WOMT
Water Quality Control Plan	WQCP
water surface elevation	WSE
Weighted Usable Area	WUA
Western Area Power Administration	Western
Wet	W