

Transfer Effects and Economic Welfare

A large blue marlin is captured mid-leap from the ocean's surface. The fish's body is arched, with its tail still in the water, creating a large, white splash. The background is a deep blue sea with some white foam from the splash.

by
Dale Squires
NOAA Fisheries
May 10, 2011

1. Purpose...(1)

- Given reduced locally caught swordfish:
- What are impacts on consumer and producer welfare, employment, and communities?
- Can local harpoon-caught swordfish fill the consumption gap?

1. Purpose...(2)

- What are implications of reduced local catch and increased imports for net sea turtle mortality?
 - Transfer effects.
- Jenny Sun 2011
econometric analysis
of west coast
consumer demand
for swordfish.



2. Background



Swordfish Imports are Critical for U.S.






Fig. 3b. US Swordfish Landings Values and Imports Values



- 40% from EPO
- Leatherbacks even more fragile in EPO than WCPO

Fig . 22 Swordfish Imports in US in 2008 (Product Weight)

Swordfish Consumption Rising Outside U.S.



3. Results




3.1. Harpoon-Caught Swordfish

- Harpoon and gillnet swordfish serve two separate markets
- Harpoon-caught swordfish does not fill consumption gap caused by lower local landings
- Imports fill the gap
 - But about 55% imports frozen, not local and fresh

3.2. Imports of Hawaii-Caught Swordfish

- Fill some of consumption gap.
- Lower net turtle mortality only if lower turtle mortality per pound of swordfish in Hawaii.



3.3. Imports of Pacific Swordfish to West Coast

- Strong substitute in consumption for West Coast and Hawaii swordfish.
- West Coast and Hawaii are ESA-regulated, foreign fleets are not.



3.4. Net Impact on Sea Turtle Mortality

- Lower West Coast landings:
 - Reduce turtle mortality
- Increased foreign supply to U.S. markets:
 - Increase turtle mortality
- Net impact unknown

4. U.S. Net Benefits



4.1. Fisher Welfare Losses

- Fishers worse off because of lower landings, employment, way of life, and profits.
- Loss in West Coast employment and viability of communities and ports.



4.2. Reduced Pacific Coast Landings:...(1)

- Loss in consumer and supply chain producer welfare from less locally caught, fresh swordfish.
 - Consumers, processors, suppliers, transporters
- Harpoon-caught swordfish cannot substitute in consumption for swordfish from other gear types.
- Imports fill gap of reduced Pacific coast swordfish landings

4.2. Reduced Pacific Coast Landings:...(2)

- Fail cost-benefit test that U.S. net benefits must not decline.....
- Unless lower turtle mortality from foreign fleets that gives:
 - (1) net decline in sea turtle mortality and
 - (2) gain in U.S. existence value offsetting U.S. consumer and producer welfare losses
- Plus accounts for EPO leatherback mortality

4.3. Welfare Impact of Restricting Imports

- Lower imports reduce US welfare
 - (less fish available for consumption)
- Net gain in welfare requires gain in existence value from any lower turtle mortality to exceed this U.S. welfare loss
- But requires:
 - (1) lower foreign fleet turtle mortality and
 - (2) little or no redirection of former imports to other (and growing) markets


5. Conclusions



Net Gains Unclear (But Unlikely)

- U.S. consumers, producers, communities, and sea turtles are worse off.....
- Unless "substantial" and offsetting gains in existence value from net reduction in turtle mortality by foreign fishers.
- All hinges on size and direction of transfer effects.

WAT on Board



Thanks!.....Any Questions?